



Pre-Bid Questions and Responses
87th Street Parkway & I-435 Interchange Improvements
City of Lenexa Project No. 60108
KDOT Project No. 046-N-0699-01
Federal Project No. STP-N069(901)
CARS Project No. 320001339

1. **Question** – Can #4 rebar rings be substituted for the #4 rebar spiral that is shown on the special concrete footing on plan sheet 128?

Response – Per the Engineer, the substitution of #4 tie bars @ 6” spacing in lieu of a #4 spiral with a 6” pitch is acceptable for the Special Concrete Pole Footing on page 128.

2. **Question** – Is there a Status of Utilities report or utility coordination information available pre-bid?

Response – Utility relocations are generally ongoing. A current status of utilities or other coordination information beyond what has been provided in the plans is not available. Contractors are expected to familiarize themselves with the site and all contract requirements regarding coordination with utilities in the project area. A list of utility companies and contact information has been provided on the plan cover sheet. Specifically, the contractor should refer to General Conditions Sections GC-10 ‘Concealed Conditions’ and GC-29 ‘Relations with Other Contractors.’

3. **Question** – Is the transformer box in front of McDonald’s (sheet 39) going to be relocated prior to construction?

Response – The existing Evergy box shown in proposed trail (at approximate STA. 119+62, 60 feet left) will be relocated by Evergy outside of the proposed improvements. While the Evergy relocations are currently underway, the City does not have a specific timeline of when this box will be moved.

4. **Question** – Bid Item 32 is for the ISRW in front of McDonalds. But then bid items 38 and 39 are also for ISRW, but the only other location we see where ISRW may be required is on sheet 33, but that really should only be Sidewalk Curb, based on the typical sections. Not 44 CY worth. Please clarify what Items 38 and 39 are for.

Question – Can you clarify the intent of bid items 32, 38 and 39. Are they all in reference to the same wall at 119+35 to 119+90?

Response – Line item 32 is for the ISRW shown on plan sheet 63. Line items 38 and 39 are for the ISRW and transition sections called out on sheet 33 at the north edge of construction, between proposed trail and proposed concrete slope protection. Also see plan sheets 22, 79 and 88 for additional information.



5. **Question** – Can a detail be provided for the C-1 curb?

Response – A construction detail for C-1 curb has been provided on plan sheet 75.

6. **Question** – Is it correct that there is zero Type D curb in the project?

Response – No Type D curb has been included on the project.

7. **Question** – Bid items 40 and 41, quantities appear to be low for the stair set required, please verify.

Response – The design consultant has verified line items 40 and 41. The quantities appear to be correct.

8. **Question** – Typical sections, can the curb & gutter be thickened in lieu of asphalt under the curb in places where there is not adjacent asphalt base?

Response – Proposed curb may be thickened to provide the same thickness of base pavement under the curb as shown in the plans where no adjacent base pavement is proposed. No extra payment will be made for this substitution and shall be considered subsidiary to the respective curb and gutter line item.

9. **Question** – Which bid line item covers the temporary asphalt shown on plan sheets 201 and 214?

Response – As the temporary asphalt is related to the traffic control phasing and no specific line item is indicated, it should be considered part of line item 126, traffic control.

10. **Question** – Will an MTV (MTD) be required on this project?

Response – An MTD will be required per City Specification S-300 Asphaltic Concrete.

11. **Question** – Is the asphalt underneath the Type C curb subsidiary or is the quantity included in bid item 12 – Asphaltic Concrete, Base (10")?

Response – Asphalt under all curb and gutter shall be subsidiary to the curb and gutter per the 'Method of Measurement' section of the City's S-300 Asphaltic Concrete specification.